• Public vs private...

- Public vs private...
- We have to avoid missing science because someone didn't sign the right MoU

- Public vs private...
- We have to avoid missing science because someone didn't sign the right MoU
- AMON (like) network solves this partly
 - The way I understand it is that AMON adds an MoU and human interactions to the GCN
- But: risk that certain telescopes are left out

- Public vs private...
- We have to avoid missing science because someone didn't sign the right MoU
- AMON (like) network solves this partly
 - The way I understand it is that AMON adds an MoU and human interactions to the GCN
- But: risk that certain telescopes are left out
- Public example IceCube HESE alert
 - Attitude of IceCube to these events?
 - GW alerts will be public soon (high significance)

- Public vs private...
- We have to avoid missing science because someone didn't sign the right MoU
- AMON (like) network solves this partly
 - The way I understand it is that AMON adds an MoU and human interactions to the GCN
- But: risk that certain telescopes are left out
- Public example IceCube HESE alert
 - Attitude of IceCube to these events?
 - GW alerts will be public soon (high significance)
- Tie a central database access to agreement to rules?
 - Resources / maintenance / service / durability

- Public vs private...
- We have to avoid missing science because someone didn't sign the right MoU
- AMON (like) network solves this partly
 - The way I understand it is that AMON adds an MoU and human interactions to the GCN
- But: risk that certain telescopes are left out
- Public example IceCube HESE alert
 - Attitude of IceCube to these events?
 - GW alerts will be public soon (high significance)
- Tie a central database access to agreement to rules?
 - Resources / maintenance / service / durability
 - Ideal(istic?) approach that would get rid of long'ish MoU procedures!

- Handshake policy
- New kids on the block:
 - ZTF
 - ... FRBs
 - LSST
- ZTF HE filter needed
 - Anna showed SN classification for neutrinos, similarly gamma-ray candidates!
 - Filtering in general similar between ZTF and LSST, seems obvious common tools are the goal



Conclusion

• Thanks for attending, we hope you enjoyed the workshop!



Conclusion

- Thanks for attending, we hope you enjoyed the workshop!
- Fe did all the work, THANKS A LOT!

RADIO - γ-RAY: TRANSIENT ALERT MECHANISMS (Rγ-TAM)



26 - 28 September 2017 - Amsterdam

Summary / Actions

- Repeat such a meeting next year, interested? Get in touch!
- Establish forum / mailing list for transients discussions (science, technical, expertise sharing, news)
 - Interested?
- Database / entity to enable better transient science
 - Not obvious who would be willing to do this, resource question
- Handshakes / confirmation notice
 - See almost no reason why we couldn't establish this as a general rule
 - Like a code of conduct (confirm receipt or follow-up of trigger, cite / acknowledge trigger sources when publishing such data, etc)
- Summary document (policy document)
 - If you would be interested to contribute, please get in touch

RADIO - γ-RAY: TRANSIENT ALERT MECHANISMS (Rγ-TAM) 26 - 28 September 2017 - Amsterdam

Asterics

This workshop is about Transient Alert Mechanisms at all wavelengths in context of the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA).



VOEvents, defined by the Virtual Observatory community. However, for joint programmes this effort must be enhanced to include the exchange of instrument status information and to define and implement handshake protocols to allow predictable and reliable handling of follow-up, or joint, observations. Because future observatories may create up to millions of alerts per night (e.g. LSST), a critical focus area will be on tools that can distil the most promising triggers for a specific facility. This implies a major effort dealing with the receiving system, authorisation, prioritisation and identity methodology.