

Astronomy ESFRI & Research Infrastructure Cluster ASTERICS - 653477



### Shallow and deep machine learning applications in KM3NeT Stefan Geißelsöder - ECAP, University of Erlangen

# **2<sup>nd</sup> ASTERICS-OBELICS Workshop**

#### 16-19 October 2017, Barcelona, Spain.



H2020-Astronomy ESFRI and Research Infrastructure Cluster (Grant Agreement number: 653477).



ASTERICS-OBELICS Workshop 2017 / Barcelona

#### KM3NeT

#### **Selected Machine Learning tasks**

Up/Down classification Track/Shower classification Selectfit Particle identification

**Deep Learning** 



# KM3NeT





NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT

### **KM3NeT** collaboration



ORCA

Single collaboration, single technology, multi-site infrastructure







**A**stroparticle Research with Cosmics In the Abyss

+Nantes, Johannesburg, Marrakech, Tbilisi

#### **KM3NeT science scope**





### **KM3NeT building block**





18 Oct. 2017 | Stefan Geißelsöder | 2nd ASTERICS OBELICS Workshop | Machine learning in KM3NeT

#### **Detection principle**





#### Hardware evolution



12 lines



#### 3 Building Blocks (3\*115 lines)





ANTARES

Uniform angular coverageDirectional information



# **Selected Machine Learning tasks**





FRIEDRICH-ALEXANDER **ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG** 

NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT



#### "Classical" pipeline for images:



# **Machine Learning algorithms**

Machine learning: Algorithm uses experience to improve (= minimize error function on examples)

- **Random Decision Forests**
- **Boosted Decision Trees**
- Artificial Neural Networks
- Convolutional Neural Networks













#### Example from ANTARES



 $\approx 10^6$  more atmospheric muons than neutrinos, all from above  $\rightarrow$  For several analyses: Up/Down = Signal/background classification





 Obvious solution: cuts on quality parameters of direction reconstruction







- Obvious solution: cuts on quality parameters of direction reconstruction
- Result: Loose most neutrinos!







- Alternative: Random Decision Forest using more parameters (reconstruction, specifically designed and other algorithms)
- pprox 99% muon suppression
- Not enough alone, but allows less strict cuts
  → more signal



### **Track/Shower classification**

- Different signatures requires different reconstructions
- Classes are:
  - Tracks a)
  - Showers c) and d)
- 11 features and a BDT





#### Track/Shower classification

- Different signatures requires different reconstructions
- Classes are:
  - Tracks a)
  - Showers c) and d)
- 11 features and a BDT
- More efficient selection than using quality cuts for track and shower reconstructions





### Selectfit

Example from ANTARES

- Multiple direction reconstructions available
- Combine them by RDF with reconstruction results and quality parameters
- Improves reconstruction accuracy/efficiency
- Allows combining different topologies



### **Particle identification**



- Incorporate more knowledge e.g. for flavour composition
- Distinguish
  - Down-going tracks
  - Up-going tracks
  - Starting tracks
  - Cascade events
  - $\nu_{\tau}$  double bang
- Again: more efficient than just stacking cuts
- Optimizing features and classification becomes complex



(d) starting track ( $\nu_{\mu}$ -CC,  $E_{\nu_{\mu}}$ =91 TeV, y=0.8)





# **Deep Learning**





NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT



• In many architectures deep means many layers





- In many architectures deep means many layers
- Can abstract from simple input
  - $\rightarrow$  learns its own features ("Representation learning")





#### In many architectures deep means many layers

- Can abstract from simple input
  - $\rightarrow$  learns its own features ("Representation learning")



• sometimes better than experts



#### **Deep Learning**

- In many architectures deep means many layers
- Can abstract from simple input
  - $\rightarrow$  learns its own features ("Representation learning")



• sometimes better than experts



### **Deep Learning**

#### In many architectures deep means many layers

• Can abstract from simple input

**Deep Learning** 

 $\rightarrow$  learns its own features ("Representation learning")



• sometimes better than experts



### **Deep Learning**



- Deep Learning frameworks optimized for 2D data
- Neutrino telescopes produce 4D data
- KM3NeT produces 6D data
- How to use Deep Learning?

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

# **Deep Learning**

- Deep Learning frameworks optimized for 2D data
- Neutrino telescopes produce 4D data
- KM3NeT produces 6D data
- How to use Deep Learning?
- Use projections?
- 2D, 3D, 4D and 3.5D
- Direct and transformed input (non-cartesian, time residuals)

![](_page_26_Figure_9.jpeg)

X - V

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

- Testing CNNs, Residual nets, LSTMs with Keras, Tensorflow, CNTK
- Training benefits from as much data as possible
- Training takes hours or days
- No manual feature design → can be trained for all previous tasks plus new ones (regression of direction, bjorken y)
- Best results so far with CNNs / Residual nets with direct 3.5D
- Preliminary & where compared already: at least as good as previous machine learning
- Doesn't (yet) surpass algorithms that can incorporate a single, well computable physics scenario (e.g. track direction)
- Outlook: Investigate 6D data, Autoencoders, combination of CNN and LSTM, separated convolutions

### Summary

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Neutrino astronomy often deals with high backgrounds and low signal statistics
- Machine Learning is more efficient than plain cuts on variables
- Deep Learning allows to tackle tasks hardly possible before
- KM3NeT investigates these new techniques to enhance the sensitivity achievable by various analyses

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Thank you for your attention